

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/716,309	PADALIA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nghia M. Doan	2825	

All Participants:

Status of Application: *pending ~ allowable*

PD

(1) Nghia M. Doan.

(3) _____

(2) David B. Raczkowski (Reg. No. 52,145).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 May 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

claims 1, 21, 22, 29

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Examiner/SPE Signature

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggests Applicant to incorporate the limitations of claim 5 into claim 1, that clarifies the condition of the step placing a respective one or more of the abstract block into each of plurality of logic block; and to correct the dependence of claims 21, 22; and also renumbered claim 29 (new filed on 11/20/2006) as claim 30.

Nghia Doan
AU 2825
(571) 272-5973